

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The private Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices. Signatories can also choose to make these available to clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This private Transparency Report is an export of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes all responses (public and private) to core and plus indicators.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised your responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options that you selected are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	15
FIXED INCOME (FI)	33
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	42



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

First Eagle Alternative Credit believes that the thoughtful and deliberate incorporation of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors into our investment process, combined with active, constructive engagement with managements, enables us to make better informed decisions and is integral to meeting our fiduciary responsibility. To this end, we consider material ESG factors in the course of our due diligence, credit research, security selection, underwriting process, portfolio oversight and risk management for all our debt investments, to the extent reasonably practical under the circumstances.

As fixed income investors, we are focused on mitigating downside risk. At the core of our investment process is the systematic identification and evaluation of a myriad of credit risk factors that can impact the long-term sustainability of business models and the earnings potential of companies. We believe that thoughtful consideration of ESG risks ultimately leads to more complete downside protection and integrate ESG considerations into our evaluation for all our debt investments.

Our proprietary environmental, social and governance research process is fully integrated across both private credit and syndicated loans and is conducted by our research teams who are also responsible for the fundamental credit analysis and industry research. This process is anchored by three key pillars: Engage, Score, and Update. During initial underwriting, we directly engage with borrowers by sending our investee companies and their owners and advisors an ESG Questionnaire and collecting responses. We incorporate publicly available information to meet any disclosure gaps and use the data collected to create a company specific ESG Scores, Ratings and ESG Momentum and Climate Risk Indicators. This analysis along with relevant analyst commentary and conventional credit risk data is captured in our proprietary database and included in our core investment memos. Information is refreshed either annually or in the event of an ESG incident. Relevant information is discussed during our internal investment committee meetings.

Recently, we have seen an increase in demand for our fixed income portfolios to meet both financial and non-financial objectives, including requests to incorporate positive or negative screening and requests to report certain fund-level ESG and Climate Risk datapoints, when available. With our robust proprietary research practices in place, we are well positioned to meet these client requests. Our internal centralized database and reporting tool, which creates transparency into our ESG scoring and analyses for each issuer, allows us to identify progression or regression against key ESG initiatives and to provide our clients enhanced reporting for their portfolios

Section 2. Annual overview



- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

First Eagle Alternative Credit has refined its ESG analysis and incorporation processes to support and align to what we believe are industry best practices and to respond to client demand for positive and negative screening in private debt mandates. Importantly, this ESG analysis and incorporation into our process spans both our fixed income and private debt strategies today.

When we joined the PRI in September of 2018 and developed our ESG Investment Framework, we used an internally developed proprietary questionnaire. This past year, we refined our engagement and scoring methodology by adopting an industry standard template from the ESG Integrated Disclosure Project. Our objective was twofold; to promote greater harmonization and consistency of disclosure of ESG key indicators by borrowers in private credit and syndicated loan transactions and to facilitate the reporting process for our investee companies. To learn more about the ESG IDP, please visit: https://www.esgidp.org/.

We also enhanced our internal scoring and reporting tool to track sector-specific datapoints influenced by the SASB Materiality Map, when data is available, and allow for analysts to tag individual borrowers for positive and negative screening considerations.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

First Eagle Alternative Credit management has identified several initiatives to improve and advance our commitment to responsible investment in 2023/24.

- Management has sought to deliver investment products tailored to clients seeking portfolios which explicitly incorporate a discrete ESG process and risk assessment framework into the fundamental credit research process.
- We have incorporated criteria into a mandate for a new private credit vehicle which includes discrete and binding gender diversity objectives. We continue to advance our understanding of SFDR regulation and related fund structures in the private credit space to address client product needs, as those arise.
- We continually evaluate our engagement with our peers and potential membership in industry organizations focused on responsible investment advocacy such as the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change, and the ESG IDP Working Group.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.



Name

Chris Flynn

Position

President

Organisation's Name

First Eagle Alternative Credit, LLC

 \bigcirc A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	30	06	2023



SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes

⊚ (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD
US\$ 21,031,686,604.00
US\$ 0.00
US\$ 4,900,000.00



ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	0%
(B) Fixed income	100%	0%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%



ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA	0%
(B) Passive – corporate	0%
(C) Active – SSA	0%
(D) Active – corporate	74.58%
(E) Securitised	0.15%
(F) Private debt	25.27%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(C) Fixed income – corporate	(1) 0%
(D) Fixed income – securitised	(1) 0%



STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

(3) Fixed income - active

(A) Yes, through internal staff	
(B) Yes, through service providers	
(C) Yes, through external managers	
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0

ESG INCORPORATION



INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(F) Fixed income - corporate	•	0
(G) Fixed income - securitised	0	•
(H) Fixed income - private debt	•	0

ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12- 14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions.

Internally managed

(G) Fixed income - securitised

We are continuing to evaluate the best approach for us to evaluate ESG considerations in connection with investments in collateralized loan obligations (CLOs). We have been active with the Loan Syndications and Trading Association (LSTA) in developing a standard ESG questionnaire for this purpose. Since CLO securities represent less than 1% of our assets under management, we have prioritized other areas of our business (specifically, private debt) in the past year.



ESG STRATEGIES

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(2) Fixed income - corporate
(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	100%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 FI	CORE	00 17 FI	N/A	PRIVATE	Fixed income	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?



(2) Fixed income - corporate

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	100%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- o (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional information: (Voluntary)

Upon client request, management has sought to deliver investment products tailored to clients seeking portfolios which explicitly incorporate a discrete ESG process and risk assessment framework into the fundamental credit research process. We have also recently incorporated criteria into a mandate for a new private credit vehicle which includes discrete and binding gender diversity objectives.



SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	O
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0
(F) Fixed income – corporate	•	0	O
(H) Fixed income – private debt	•	0	0

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	00 3, 00 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- o (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- **⊚** (B) Publish as ranges



POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

oxdot (A) Overall approach to responsible investme
--

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

 $\ \square$ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

☑ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold

☑ (G) Guidelines on exclusions

 $\ \square$ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment

☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees

☐ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement

 \square (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

 \square (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here

o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

(A)) Specific	auidelines o	on climate	change ((mav be	part of	auidelines a	on environmenta	d factors

☐ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

 \square (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

(D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.firsteagle.com/sites/default/files/2023-05/FEAC-ESG-Policy.pdf

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.firsteagle.com/sites/default/files/2023-05/FEAC-ESG-Policy.pdf

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.firsteagle.com/sites/default/files/2023-05/FEAC-ESG-Policy.pdf

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.firsteagle.com/sites/default/files/2023-05/FEAC-ESG-Policy.pdf

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold Add link:

https://www.firsteagle.com/sites/default/files/2023-05/FEAC-ESG-Policy.pdf

- ☐ (J) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☐ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1-6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

(A) Yes

Elaborate:



First Eagle Alternative Credit believes that the thoughtful and deliberate incorporation of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors into our investment process, combined with active, constructive engagement with managements, enables us to make better informed decisions and is integral to meeting our fiduciary responsibility.

o (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- \Box (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- \Box (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- \square (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- \square (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- ☑ (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?



Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors

(6) >90% to <100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (B) Fixed income

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - **(10) >90% to <100%**
 - o (11) 100%
- (2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)



GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

	(A)	Board	members,	trustees,	or	equiva	lent
--	-----	-------	----------	-----------	----	--------	------

☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent Specify:

Chief Compliance Officer

☑ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent Specify:

Investment Committee

- \square (D) Head of department, or equivalent
- o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	



(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

Describe how you do this:

First Eagle's Management Committee oversees all material activities undertaken by the Firm, including those related to political engagement. The management committee's responsibility is to ensure the Firm upholds its fiduciary duties, which are, in many ways, aligned with the PRI's principles.

- o (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)



Specify:

ESG Committee, Investment Committee ESG Task Force, Portfolio Managers, Compliance Team

- ☐ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- o (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

\square (A) Any changes in	policies related to	responsible	investment
------------------------------	---------------------	-------------	------------

- ☐ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- \square (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- \square (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☐ (E) Climate—related commitments
- \square (F) Progress towards climate–related commitments
- ☐ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (H) Progress towards human rights—related commitments
- \square (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- \square (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

\Box (A)	Yes,	including	all	governance-related	recommended	disclosures
----------	----	------	-----------	-----	--------------------	-------------	-------------

- \square (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- \square (C) Yes, including all risk management–related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

● (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

https://www.firsteagle.com/documents/2020-Responsible-Investment-Transparency-Report https://www.firsteagle.com/sites/default/files/2023-02/2021 Assessment Report for First Eagle Alternative Credit LLC.pdf

- o (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- \circ (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year



STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

_ ,	• •										
1 (Δ١	Exclusions based	On Our	organication's	Values or	haliate	ragarding	narticular	CACTORS	nraducte ar	CONVICAC
_ (~,		on our	organisation s	values of	DCIICIS	regarding	particular	SCCIOIS,	products of	SCI VICCS

☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact

 \square (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments

☐ (E) Other elements

o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \square (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \square (C) We incorporate human rights-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \Box (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process



^{☐ (}B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

(2) Fixed income

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

0

(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

(

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?



As a part of our standard environmental, social, governance, and climate research process, our investment teams engage with the respective investees on material risk factors. Typically the engagement consists of our teams sending a questionnaire (ESG IDP alignment) to the management team to collect responses on a pre-set list of E, S, G, and Climate topics.

Our organization typically prioritizes the investees on which to focus stewardship efforts in two instances.

First, when responses are low quality and reflect under-investment in key environmental, social, governance, or climate functions that may expose the business to operational or financial risk, our investment teams may attempt to engage with the Company to emphasize the importance of these matters and influence positive momentum as it relates to internal resource allocation and disclosure quality.

Second, when responses indicate an investee has a track record of material business or financial disruptions due to E, S, G, and Climate factors, our investment teams may prioritize engagement with the investee to understand what drove the disruptions and what steps are being taken to remediate the impacts / risks go forward.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

☑ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff Select from the list:

- 1
- o 3
- 0 4
- 0 5
- ☐ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable



☐ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers ☐ (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities
Select from the list:
© 2
o 3
0 4
o 5
☐ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar

(F) We do not ι	use any of these chan	nels				
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

First Eagle Alternative Credit believes in engaging with issuers as a core pillar of our proprietary research process. The insights from these engagement efforts inform investments across both our tradable credit and our direct lending platforms. As a fixed income investor, our borrowers often need to come back to the market to manage their capital structures, and a borrower's cost of capital will depend on investors' perception of risk. As ESG factors become increasingly more important to clients, employees, suppliers, vendors, and ratings agencies, ESG factors will directly affect the business and financial risk profile of our borrowers and the associated cost of raising capital for these companies. Given this connection between the ESG and the capital markets, FEAC coordinates engagement across the firm to ensure we are directing our borrowers, which we view as partners, towards practices that are sustainable over the long term.

We manage a range of portfolios designed to target traditional risk-adjusted returns while also targeting improved ESG objectives. Our approach generally is to engage with management teams directly during the syndication/origination process and annually thereafter. The initial engagement is guided by our ESG questionnaire, and the follow-on engagements are guided by an abbreviated questionnaire/script for investment team members to follow. The standardization of these processes allows for comparability across borrowers, sectors, and portfolios. While we seek standardization across our portfolio stewardship, we also continually look to reevaluate the applicability and effectiveness of our questionnaire and script, which have gone through numerous revisions. Our ability to compare these variables allows us to identify and assess risk and opportunity across a wide spectrum of borrowers. We aim to identify a fixed income strategy that minimizes ESG-related risks but also capitalizes on ESG-related opportunities, so long as they align with our client needs. The datapoints and insights garnered from these engagement initiatives inform our credit investment stance via inclusion in our credit write-ups, discussions in our investment committee meetings, and tracked in our proprietary credit tracking platform.



STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

3 - y
\square (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one
\square (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
☑ (C) Not investing
\square (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
☑ (E) Divesting
☐ (F) Litigation
☐ (G) Other

 $\circ~$ (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 38	PLUS	OO 8, OO 5.3 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

Describe your approach to escalation for your internally managed SSA and/or private debt fixed income assets.

(B) Private debt - Approach to escalation

Our escalation for our private debt investments would consist of either 1) passing on an investment or 2) privately engaging an issuer once an issue has been identified.



STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- \square (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- \Box (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- \square (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- $\hfill\Box$ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- ☐ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups
- \square (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- ☑ (E) Other methods

Describe:

We participate in industry-related forums/panels and occasionally respond to policy consultations. Our dedicated efforts this year were focused on the support of the ESG IDP Project. We have participated and provided document feedback on LSTA Working Groups that have worked on the LSTA ESG IDP Questionnaire and CLO manager Questionnaire. As a part of these working groups, peers across the credit industry share insights and perspectives for how to accelerate ESG adoption in the credit markets. These include encouraging borrowers and sponsors to voluntarily disclose more robust ESG scoring, introducing new documents/processes into broader leveraged loan practices and sharing pain points.



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- \square (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- \square (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- \odot (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

Explain why:

Our work was in collaboration with industry groups not an individual engagement.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

☑ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Our Climate Risk analysis focuses on a borrower's exposure to climate risks within our standard planning horizon. These risks include physical and transition risks.

Physical risks could include damages to buildings and infrastructure or disruption of business operations due to forced or preventative closure. Transition climate risk is equally as important and, in some cases, can be more important to specific borrowers. FEAC identifies transition risk as all the potential negative impacts as a result of climate risk to the company's operations. This could include regulation risks (changes in regulation/market structures as a result of climate risk), litigation risks (failure to provide/deliver service due to outages), competitive risks (competitors without exposure to climate risks gaining more share), production risk (shortfalls due to climate events), and/or reputational risks (unreliable customer or supplier due to climate events).



As a part of our standard engagement and diligence process, our investment teams identify and assess a company's physical climate and climate transition risk exposure during the holding period, while also assessing the quality of policies and procedures that may exist to offset the potential impacts during this hold period. These may include items such as business plans to grow away from segments exposed to risk, existence of business interruption insurance policies, existence of redundant capacity, or other disaster recovery strategies, etc.

This analysis is included in each investments write-up, and if relevant, will be discussed at the time of investment and monitored during an investment holding period.

☑ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Our climate risk analysis also assesses the potential risks that extend beyond our standard planning horizon. This risk is most often expressed via the form of stranded assets that may be exposed to permanent disruption and potential refinancing risk. We view stranded asset risk to be largely tied to three change factors: economic (long term changes in relative costs/prices), physical (extreme/changing weather or environmental conditions), and regulatory (changes in policy that alter the competitive landscape). In the event that our investment teams identify an industry or asset that has stranded risk, this will be discussed and may be integrated into our shorter-term investment making decisions.

o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

As a fiduciary to our clients, our responsibility is to preserve and grow our clients' assets. In order to adequately position our clients best, we take the approach that factoring in climate-related risks and opportunities is vital to be a competent and competitive investment manager.

As many investment managers are now aware, climate risk is investment risk and FEAC's ESG policy recognizes a detailed climate risk analysis as a fundamental part of our ESG philosophy.



FEAC now uses a variety of methodologies and data points to seek to triangulate climate change risks and opportunities for potential investments. Throughout the investment process, analysts utilize public information, company provided information, industry data points, independent Sustainalytics metrics and data, ratings agencies, peer information and other resources to assess climate risks and opportunities. FEAC's climate analysis is a qualitative analysis of a borrower's physical and transitional risks as well as a view towards materiality and preparedness to address those risks, should they manifest in actual business disruptions.

This analysis (Climate Risk and Climate Prepareness) is incorporated into our investment memorandums, discussed (when relevant) with our investment committee, and used to support the fundamental research process to ensure that we meet our responsibility to preserve and grow assets as a fiduciary to our clients.

• (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above preindustrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- ☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- \square (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- \square (D) Yes, using other scenarios
- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks
 - (1) Describe your process

As a part of our standard engagement and diligence process, our investment teams identify and assess a company's direct and indirect exposure to climate risks during the hold period, while also assessing the quality of policies and procedures that may exist to offset the potential impacts during this hold period. These may include items such as business interruption insurance, redundant capacity, disaster recovery strategies, etc.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

This analysis is included in each investments write-up, and if relevant, will be discussed at the time of investment and monitored during an investment hold period.

 \square (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks



o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

g
☐ (A) Exposure to physical risk
\square (B) Exposure to transition risk
☐ (C) Internal carbon price
☐ (D) Total carbon emissions
\square (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
☐ (F) Avoided emissions
☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
\square (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
☐ (J) Other metrics or variables

● (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☐ (A) Scope 1 emissions
☐ (B) Scope 2 emissions
☐ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
(D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting
year



SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- o (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities Explain why:

We support sustainability outcomes that broadly align with responsible investment and sustainability goals but have to yet to find a reasonable, measurable, or scalable framework.

FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

	(2) Corporate	(4) Private debt	
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	



(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	•	o
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	0	0

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?

	(2) Corporate	
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses		
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses	(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	0	



PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

	(2) Corporate	(4) Private debt
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors		
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	Z	☑
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	O	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?



	(2) Corporate	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)	(2) for a majority of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector	0	0
(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase?

☑ (A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases

☑ (B) We assess quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases

(C) We check whether the target company has its own responsible investment policy, sustainability policy or ESG policy



Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- \Box (D) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities are not available
- \square (E) We require the review and sign-off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee, or the equivalent function

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- $\ oxdots$ (F) We use industry-recognised responsible investment due diligence questionnaire (DDQ) templates

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- \Box (G) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence process
- o (H) We do not incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(2) Corporate	(3) Private debt
(A) We incorporate it into the		
forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
factors may evolve	(1) for all of our Mon	(1) for all of our Molvi
(C) We do not incorporate		
significant changes in material ESG factors	0	0



ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(2) Corporate
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways	



POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(2) Corporate	(4) Private debt
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process		



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(2) Corporate	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	☑	☑
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	☑	✓
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities	☑	✓



(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents		
(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	Ο	Ο
(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process	0	0

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

During the reporting year, how did your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments?

☑ (A) We used a qualitative ESG checklist

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in the majority of cases
- o (3) in the minority of cases
- \square (B) We assessed quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in the majority of cases
- o (3) in the minority of cases
- \square (C) We hired third-party consultants to do technical assessment on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities were not available
- ☑ (D) We used industry body guidelines

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in the majority of cases
- o (3) in the minority of cases
- ☑ (E) We used another method to incorporate material ESG factors into the monitoring of private debt investments



Specify:

For certain mandates, as directed by our clients, we are able to incorporate exclusion criteria.

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (2) in the majority of cases
- o (F) We did not incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 18	CORE	00 17 FI, 00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your fixed income assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☑ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- \Box (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as any deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

\square (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investm	nent
processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion	

- \Box (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- \Box (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- □ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report



\square (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment pol	icy
\square (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investme	ent
decision-making	

 \square (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI

o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- \square (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent Sections of PRI report reviewed

 - o (2) selected sections of the report
- \circ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

